FILED: BRONX COUNTY CLERK 09/11/2019 04:12 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF THE BRONX

J. L.,

Plaintiff,

Index No.

VS.

THE ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK and CARDINAL HAYES HIGH SCHOOL,

Defendants.

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS:

You are hereby summoned to answer in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: September 11, 2019 New York, New York

PHILLIPS & PAOLICELLI, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Diane Paolicelli Michael J. DeRuve 747 Third Ave., 6th Fl. New York, NY 10017 (212) 388-5100

To:

ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK 1011 1st Avenue New York, NY 10022

CARDINAL HAYES HIGH SCHOOL 650 Grand Concourse Bronx, NY 10451

{00046341}

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF THE BRONX

J. L.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK, and CARDINAL HAYES HIGH SCHOOL,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Index No.:

Plaintiff J. L., by and through his undersigned attorneys, as and for his Verified Complaint, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to the Child Victims Act, codified at CPLR 214-g.
- 2. Plaintiff J. L. was repeatedly sexually abused and assaulted by Fr. Joseph Ansaldi, who was hired, retained, supervised, placed, directed and otherwise authorized to act by Defendants, The Archdiocese of New York, and Cardinal Hayes High School.
 - 3. Plaintiff was about 14 - 15 years old at the time of his abuse.
- 4. Despite years of refusal to publically address rampant child abuse by priests, Defendant The Archdiocese of New York recently published a long list clergy in their employ who were credibly accused of molesting children. The list includes Fr. Ansaldi, who is now deceased.
- 5. In fact, the Roman Catholic Church and Defendants have long known that substantial numbers of priests throughout history, and up to and including the present day,

{00046252}

1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

violate their vows or promises of celibacy and otherwise misbehave by soliciting sexual contact with parishioners and others, in particular with children like Plaintiff, who are entrusted to their spiritual care and guidance. Official Church documents dealing with this unspeakable misconduct span the centuries, many of which were and are well known to Defendants.

6. Notwithstanding this knowledge, and the fiduciary duty and relationship of trust owed to parishioners and their children, Defendants negligently, recklessly, and willfully failed to protect Plaintiff from sexual abuse by Fr. Ansaldi, permitted the abuse to occur, failed to supervise Fr. Ansaldi, failed to timely investigate Fr. Ansaldi's misconduct, acted to protect their own self-interest to the detriment of innocent children, and are otherwise responsible for Fr. Ansaldi's sexual assault of Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's consequential injuries and damages.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Brooklyn County, New York.
- 8. Plaintiff was born in 1959.
- 9. Defendant The Archdiocese of New York ("Archdiocese") is, and at all relevant times was, a non-profit organization or entity, which includes but is not limited to civil corporations, decision-making entities, officials, and employees, authorized to conduct business and doing business at 1101 First Avenue, New York, NY 10022.
- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant Archdiocese oversaw, managed, controlled, directed and operated parishes, churches and schools within the Archdiocese.
- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School was and still is a Roman Catholic High School for boys, organized pursuant to the laws of the State of New York and located at 650 Grand Concourse, The Bronx, NY 10451.

DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

12. At all relevant times, Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School was and still is under the direct authority, control and province of Defendant Archdiocese.

- 13. At all relevant times, Defendant Archdiocese owned the premises where Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School was located.
- 14. At all relevant times, Defendant Archdiocese oversaw, managed controlled, directed and operated Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School.
- 15. At all relevant times, Defendant Archdiocese oversaw, managed, controlled, directed and assigned priests and other clergy to work in parishes, churches and schools of the Archdiocese, including Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 17. From approximately 1973 through 1974 Plaintiff attended Cardinal Hayes High School.
- 18. At all relevant times, Fr. Joseph Ansaldi ("Fr. Ansaldi") was a Roman Catholic priest employed by Defendant Archdiocese.
- 19. At all relevant times, Fr. Ansaldi was under the direct supervision, employ, and control of the Defendants.
- 20. During the time that Plaintiff was a student attending Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School, Defendants assigned Fr. Ansaldi to be the Dean of Discipline at Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School.
- 21. By assigning Fr. Ansaldi to the role of Dean of Discipline, Defendants gave Fr. Ansaldi complete access to minors, including Plaintiff, and empowered him to discipline, punish, reprimand, chastise, expel and otherwise exercise complete authority over minors.

DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

22. Fr. Ansaldi's duties and responsibilities included supervising, interacting with, mentoring and counseling minor boys.

- 23. In the performance of their duties, Defendants authorized Fr. Ansaldi to be alone with minor boys, including Plaintiff, and to have unfettered and unsupervised access to them on Defendants' property.
- 24. Defendants also authorized Fr. Ansaldi to have physical contact with minor boys, in a manner consistent with providing discipline, counseling, educational and spiritual guidance, and leadership.
- 25. Defendants required students, like Plaintiff, to accept discipline and instruction from Fr. Ansaldi and other clergy and teachers, and to obey their instruction.
- 26. Plaintiff was raised as a Catholic, and at all relevant times had developed a reverence, respect and/or fear for the Catholic Church and its clergy, including Fr. Ansaldi.
- 27. During Plaintiff's freshman year at Defendant Cardinal Hayes High School (approximately 1973-1974), Fr. Ansaldi, acting in his capacity as Dean of Discipline, and in furtherance of the business of Defendants, singled Plaintiff out for being late to school and gave him "late detention" on multiple occasions.
- 28. There, in a classroom on school premises, on multiple occasions, Fr. Ansaldi "disciplined" Plaintiff by engaging in unpermitted, forcible and harmful sexual contact with Plaintiff.
- 29. Further, in or about 1973-1974, Plaintiff was a member of a sports team at Cardinal Hayes High School.
- 30. On multiple occasions during the sports season, Fr. Ansaldi summoned Plaintiff to his office and/or other areas of the school on the pretext of needing to perform a "physical

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

examination" as a prerequisite to Plaintiff's participation on the team. During these purported "physical examinations," Fr. Ansaldi engaged in unpermitted, forcible and harmful sexual

contact with Plaintiff.

31. Fr. Ansaldi threatened to further "discipline" Plaintiff or revoke Plaintiff's ability

to participate with the school's sports teams if he did not acquiesce to the above described sexual

abuse.

DOC. NO. 1

32. In addition to these direct threats, Plaintiff's relationship to Defendants as a

vulnerable child and student, and the culture of the Catholic church which Defendants endorsed,

put pressure on Plaintiff not to report Fr. Ansaldi's abuse or his threats.

33. Defendants knew or should have known that Fr. Ansaldi was a danger to minor

boys like Plaintiff before he sexually abused Plaintiff.

34. The Vatican and other church authorities addressed the problem of clergy sex

abuse on countless occasions prior to Fr. Ansaldi's abuse of Plaintiff, and communicated as

much with all levels of Church hierarchy including bishops and other Diocesan leaders. As such,

at all relevant times, Defendants were well aware that errant sexual behavior by some priests was

not only widespread but predictable.

35. Upon information and belief, not only was Defendant Archdiocese aware of

sexual abuse of children, but it participated in covering up such heinous acts by moving errant

priests and clergy members from assignment to assignment, thereby putting children in harm's

way.

36. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they had superior

knowledge about the risks their facilities posed to minor children, the risk of abuse in general,

and the risks that Fr. Ansaldi posed to Plaintiff.

{00046252}

5

COUNTY CLERK 09/11

DOC. NO.

37.

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

Prior to the time of Plaintiff's abuse by Fr. Ansaldi, Defendants knew or should have known of numerous acts of sexual assault committed by clergy members within the

Archdiocese and elsewhere in the Roman Catholic church, and knew that there was a specific

danger of child sex abuse for children in their institutions and programs.

38. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff by Fr. Ansaldi was foreseeable.

Prior to the time of Plaintiff's abuse by Fr. Ansaldi, Defendants knew or should 39.

have known of Fr. Ansaldi's acts of child sexual abuse on other minors.

40. Defendants owed Plaintiff a reasonable duty of care because they affirmatively

solicited children and parents to send their children to Cardinal Hayes High School; they

undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff; they promoted their facilities and

programs as being safe for children, they held out their agents, including Fr. Ansaldi, as safe to

work with and around minor boys, they encouraged parents and children to spend time with their

agents; and/or authorized their agents, including Fr. Ansaldi, to spend time with, interact with,

and recruit children.

41. Defendants owed Plaintiff a heightened, fiduciary duty of care because they held

themselves out as being able to provide a safe and secure environment for children, including

Plaintiff; Plaintiff's parents entrusted Plaintiff to Defendants' care, and expected that Plaintiff

would be safe and properly supervised in an environment free from harm and abuse; Plaintiff

was a vulnerable minor, and unable to protect himself; and Defendants affirmatively assumed a

position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

42. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to protect him from harm because Defendants'

acts and omissions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.

{00046252}

6

COUNTY CLERK 09/11/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great 43. physical and mental pain and anguish, severe and permanent emotional distress, psychological injuries, fear and anxiety; was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing his normal daily activities; was and will continue to be deprived of the enjoyment of life's pleasures; has suffered and continues to suffer loss of spirituality; has suffered and will continue to suffer loss of earnings and earning capacity; has incurred and will in the future incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, and was otherwise damaged.

44. To the extent that any Defendants plead, or otherwise seek to rely upon Article 16 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR) to have fault apportioned to another allegedly culpable party, Plaintiff expressly states that Defendants' conduct falls within one or more of the subdivisions of CPLR 1602.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENT HIRING, RETENTION, SUPERVISON, AND DIRECTION

- 45. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. At all relevant times Defendants had a duty to exercise due care in hiring, appointing, assigning, retention, supervision and direction of Fr. Ansaldi, so as to protect minor children, including Plaintiff, who were likely to come into contact with him, and/or under his influence or supervision, and to ensure that Fr. Ansaldi did not use this assigned position to injure minors by sexual assault, contact or abuse.
- 47. Defendants were negligent and failed to use reasonable care in hiring, appointing, assigning, and retention, of Fr. Ansaldi, failed to properly investigate his background and employment history, and/or hired, appointed and/or assigned him to Defendant Cardinal Hayes

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

High School, when Defendants knew or should have known of facts that would make him a danger to children; and Defendants were otherwise negligent.

- 48. Defendants were negligent and did not use reasonable care in their supervision and direction of Fr. Ansaldi, failed to monitor his activities, failed to oversee the manner in which he carried out the duties to which Defendants assigned him, even though they knew or should have known that Fr. Ansaldi posed a threat of sexual abuse to minors; allowed the misconduct describe above to occur and continue; failed to investigate Fr. Ansaldi's dangerous activities and remove him from their premises; and Defendants were otherwise negligent.
- 49. Fr. Ansaldi would not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff had Defendants not been negligent in the hiring, retention, supervision, and direction of Fr. Ansaldi.
- 50. At all relevant times, Fr. Ansaldi acted in the course and scope of his employment with Defendants.
- 51. Defendants' aforesaid actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, and/or outrageous in their disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.
- 52. Plaintiff suffered grave injury as a result of Fr. Ansaldi's sexual abuse and misconduct, including physical, psychological and emotional injury as described above.
- 53. By the reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, together with interest and costs.

COUNT II

NEGLIGENT, RECKLESS, AND WILLFUL MISCONDUCT

54. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

55. At all relevant times, Defendants affirmatively and/or impliedly represented to minor children, their families and the general public that clergy working in the Archdiocese, including Fr. Ansaldi, did not pose a risk and/or that they did not have a history of sexually abusing children, and that children, including Plaintiff, would be safe in their care.

- 56. Defendants knew or should have known this representation was false and that employing Fr. Ansaldi and giving him unfettered access to children, including Plaintiff, posed an unacceptable risk of harm to children.
- 57. Defendant Archdiocese maintained a policy and practice of covering up criminal activity committed by clergy members within the Archdiocese.
- 58. Over the decades, this "cover-up" policy and practice of the Archdiocese resulted in the sexual assault of untold numbers of children, and put numerous other children at risk of sexual assault.
- 59. Defendant Archdiocese failed to report multiple allegations of sexual abuse by its employees, agents and representatives, to the proper authorities, thereby putting children at risk of sexual assault.
- 60. Upon information and belief, Defendants covered up acts of abuse by Fr. Ansaldi, and concealed facts concerning Fr. Ansaldi's sexual misconduct from Plaintiff and his family.
- 61. It was not until April 2019 that Defendant Archdiocese publicly named Fr. Ansaldi as having been accused of sexual assault of a minor. Abuse by Fr. Ansaldi was determined to be eligible for compensation under the IRCP.
- 62. By failing to disclose the identities, histories and information about sexually abusive clergy in their employ, including Fr. Ansaldi, Defendants unreasonably deprived the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

families of children entrusted to their care, including Plaintiff, of the ability to protect their children.

- 63. Defendants failed to warn Plaintiff and his parents that Fr. Ansaldi posed a risk of child sexual assault.
- 64. The conduct of Defendants as described herein was done with utter disregard as to the potential profound injuries which would ensue, and with depraved indifference to the health and well-being of children, and to the fact that Defendants were knowingly subjecting children in their charge, including Plaintiff, to sexual crimes.
- Defendants' aforesaid actions were negligent, reckless, willful and wonton in their 65. disregard for the rights and safety of children, including Plaintiff.
- 66. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as described above.
- 67. By the reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, together with interest and costs.

COUNT III

NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 68. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 69. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff was extreme and outrageous conduct, beyond all possible bounds of decency, atrocious and intolerable in a civilized world.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

70. Defendants' aforesaid negligent, grossly negligent and reckless misconduct, endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear for his own safety.

- 71. Defendants knew or disregarded the substantial probability that Fr. Ansaldi would cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.
- 72. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing misconduct, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress including psychological and emotional injury as described above.
- 73. By the reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest and costs.

COUNT IV

PREMISES LIABILITY

- 74. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, and /or controlled the premises 75. known as Cardinal Hayes High School, including the areas where the sexual abuse of Plaintiff occurred.
- At all relevant times, Plaintiff was rightfully present at the aforementioned 76. premises.
- Defendants had a duty to see that the premises at which Plaintiff was rightfully 77. present were in a reasonably safe condition for the intended use by students, like Plaintiff, whose presence was reasonably anticipated.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

78. Defendants willfully, recklessly, and negligently failed to provide a reasonably safe premises that was free from the presence of sexual predators and/or the assault by the occupants of the premises, including Fr. Ansaldi. Defendants thereby breached their duty of care of Plaintiff.

79. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological, and emotional injury and damages as described above.

80. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest and costs.

COUNT V

BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

- 81. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 82. At all relevant times, there existed a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence and reliance between Plaintiff and each Defendant. The entrustment of Plaintiff to the care and supervision of the Defendants while Plaintiff was a vulnerable child, imposed upon Defendants fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of Plaintiff.
- 83. Defendants were entrusted with the well-being, care, and safety of Plaintiff, which Defendants had a fiduciary duty to protect.
- By reason of the foregoing, Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to 84. Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

described above.

COUNTY CLERK 09/11/2019

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

85. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing breach, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as

86. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest and costs.

COUNT VI

BREACH OF DUTY IN LOCO PARENTIS

- 87. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 88. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a vulnerable child entrusted to Defendants care, and was under the supervision and control of Defendants, such that Defendants owed him a duty to act in loco parentis and to prevent foreseeable injuries.
- 89. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants breached their duties to act in loco parentis.
- 90. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing breach, Plaintiff suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages as described above.
- 91. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest and costs.

COUNT VII

BREACH OF STATUTORY DUTIES TO REPORT

92. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if fully set forth herein

COUNTY CLERK 09/11/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

93. Pursuant to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, Defendants had a statutory duty to report reasonable suspicion of abuse of children in their care.

94. Defendants breached their statutory duty by failing to report reasonable suspicion

of abuse by Fr. Ansaldi of children in their care.

95. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing breaches, Plaintiff

suffered grave injury, including the physical, psychological and emotional injury and damages

as described above.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

96. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory

and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

a. Awarding Plaintiff compensatory damages for his injuries, in an amount to be

determined at trial;

b. Awarding Plaintiff punitive damages for his injuries, in an amount to be

determined at trial;

c. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment interest, to the extent available by law;

d. Awarding Plaintiffs costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees to the extent

available by law; and

e. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

97. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by jury in this action.

{00046252}

14

15 of 16

FILED: BRONX COUNTY CLERK 09/11/2019 04:12 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 70029/2019E

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/11/2019

Dated: September 11, 2019

Yours, etc.

PHILLIPS & PAOLICELLI, LLP

By:

Diane Paolicelli
dpaolicelli@p2law.com
Michael DeRuve
mderuve@p2law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
747 Third Avenue, Sixth Floor
New York, New York 10017
212-388-5100